



# Wireless Sensor Networks: Network Life Time Enhancement using an Improved Grey Wolf Optimization Algorithm

Kanthi M and Ravilla Dilli\*

## Abstract

Clustering is an effective technique in a wireless sensor network (WSN) to minimize energy consumption and low-energy adaptive clustering hierarchy (LEACH) is the most popular clustering protocol in WSNs. However, a random selection of cluster head (CH) in LEACH protocol results in poor performance in real network deployments. Dynamic formation of CHs and energy-aware clustering schemes help in enhancing the lifetime of WSNs. In this paper, we have proposed an improved version of the grey wolf optimization (IGWO) algorithm to overcome the premature convergence of the conventional GWO algorithm. The algorithm is applied to optimize the CH selection in WSNs to maximize the network lifetime. The improvements of the IGWO algorithm are based on sink distance, CH balancing factor, residual energy, and average intra-cluster distance. The proposed algorithm has been tested in terms of the number of rounds, number of operating nodes, number of transmissions, and energy levels used for communications. The performance results of the IGWO algorithm are compared with a conventional LEACH protocol, it is observed that the total number of operational rounds has been increased by 441.4% and 869.6% for a network size of 50 and 699.8% and 990.8% for a network size of 100 when CH selection probability is 5% and 10%, respectively. The simulation results show that the proposed IGWO-based LEACH protocol outperforms the existing state-of-the-art algorithms based on GWO for enhancing the WSN's lifetime.

**Keywords:** Wireless sensor network; Low-energy adaptive clustering; Grey wolf optimization; Sensor network lifetime; Cluster head selection; Improved grey wolf optimization algorithm.

Received: 29 March 2022; Revised: 23 May 2022; Accepted: 24 May 2022.

Article type: Research article.

## 1. Introduction

A wireless sensor network (WSN) is a combination of several sensors installed on physical devices that are geographically distributed in a network field to collect data based on the application. The scattered nodes can be grouped into clusters to deliver the information to the base station (BS). The sensor nodes are self-organized, having the ability to sense, process, and communicate. In most WSN applications, it is not feasible to recharge or replace the batteries of sensor nodes. The main challenges in WSNs are the optimal number of CHs, energy efficiency, optimal network coverage, network lifetime, stability, and reliability. Designing an energy-efficient protocol for the optimum selection of CHs is essential for maximizing network

lifetime in WSNs. Low energy adaptive clustering (LEACH) is a hierarchical protocol at the MAC sublayer used to form

clusters in WSNs.<sup>[1]</sup> The node which has maximum residual energy becomes CH to improve energy efficiency and network lifetime. The functioning of the LEACH protocol has two phases: the “setup phase” in which cluster formation is performed and in the next phase called the “steady phase”, information is transferred to the sink node.

### 1.1 Setup phase

In this phase, every sensor node chooses a random number in the range 0 to 1 and if this number is lower than the threshold of  $n^{\text{th}}$  node ‘ $T(n)$ ’, then the sensor node becomes CH for that specific cluster and the remaining nodes will act as cluster members. The CH selection phase and clustering phase are the key parts of the LEACH protocol. Each sensor node is having threshold energy as:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{1-p(r \bmod (\frac{1}{p}))} & \forall n \in G \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Initially, CHs are chosen based on a threshold value  $T(n)$  and BS transmits the message to every CH of the first round. This

*Electronics and Communication Engineering, Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Udipi District, Karnataka 576104, India.*

\*E-mail: [dilli.ravilla@manipal.edu](mailto:dilli.ravilla@manipal.edu) (R. Dilli)

strategy ensures that all the sensor nodes uniformly spend equal energy. Once CH selection is completed, the CHs advertise their selection to all sensor nodes in the network. After receiving the advertisements, the sensor nodes choose their nearest CH based on the received signal strength, and then CHs assign a TDMA schedule for the nodes in their respective clusters.

### 1.2 Steady phase

In this phase, cluster members join their CHs and transmit data based on the TDMA schedule. To achieve energy conservation, CHs perform data aggregation (or fusion) through local computation, and the resultant data is further transmitted to BS. A longer steady phase minimizes the overhead of cluster formation. After a certain amount of time in the steady phase, the selection of CHs is repeated through the setup phase. Adaptive CH selection based on event occurrence instead of periodic selection significantly minimizes message overheads and computations without compromising network throughput and end-to-end delay.<sup>[2]</sup>

### 1.3 Grey Wolf Optimization (GWO) algorithm

GWO is a meta-heuristic algorithm inspired by the food-searching behavior of grey wolves and it mimics the hunting mechanism and leadership hierarchy of grey wolves present in nature. The wolves do attack in a group and the best position of any wolf can be provided with the best solution. They follow a strict social dominance hierarchy as alphas ( $\alpha$ ), beta ( $\beta$ ), delta ( $\delta$ ), and omega ( $\omega$ ) which is shown in Fig. 1 and the implementation of hunting, searching, encircling, and attacking the prey. The dominance decreases from top to bottom of the hierarchy. This algorithm is applied to WSNs in which the position of wolves represents the position of CH in each cluster and the position of the grey wolves updates the position of CH in the LEACH protocol.




Fig. 1 Hierarchy of Grey Wolves.

A schematic illustration of the synthesis process of the  $\alpha$  wolves (both male and female) are most responsible for the decision-making, organization, the discipline of the group and the other category of wolves in a group follows  $\alpha$  wolf's decisions. In the second level,  $\beta$  wolves (either male or female) help, advice the  $\alpha$  wolf in decision-making, feedback and pass commands to lower-level wolves in the hierarchy.  $\delta$  category wolf is subordinate to  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and dominant of  $\omega$  wolves.  $\delta$  wolves play the role of scouts, sentinels (guarantee the safety and protection of the group), elders (experienced wolves who are

used by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  wolves, hunters (help the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  wolves in hunting to provide food for the group), caretakers (take care of weak, ill and wounded wolves in the group). Grey wolf,  $\omega$  is the lowest level animal in a group that follows orders of all other dominant wolves.  $\omega$  wolf assists the entire group and if  $\omega$  wolf is absent in a group, there are chances of an internal fight due to the frustration of all other wolves. The main steps of group hunting by grey wolves are: 1) Tracing, chasing, and approaching the prey; 2) pursuing, encircling, and harassing the prey till it stops movement; 3) attacking towards prey. The social hierarchy and hunting techniques of grey wolves are modeled to design the GWO algorithm and its performance optimization. The GWO algorithm is used in solving real-time engineering problems across various fields.<sup>[3,4]</sup>

### 2. Performance optimization in WSNs

Optimization of CH selection in WSN greatly improves the network lifetime. As energy is a major constraint in WSNs, optimum energy utilization is an important aspect in WSNs to enhance the lifetime of the network and this feature is essential for IoT and IIoT applications. As a part of energy harvesting in WSNs, there are many algorithms and techniques proposed in the literature for CHs selection based on residual energy, centrality, the position of nodes, *etc.*, to minimize energy consumption and improve network lifetime without compromising the reliability of the network. Cluster formation and CH selection as well as re-formation and re-selections based on residual energy further improve network efficiency over the LEACH protocol. However, the reformation of clusters occurs only if there exists a large separation between CHs and sensor nodes. This approach improves the efficiency of the network in terms of lifetime and decreases complexity over the LEACH protocol.<sup>[5]</sup> In a normal operation of LEACH protocol, the energy consumption of WSN is equalized by randomly selecting CHs in an iterative fashion and it leads to network instability. Therefore, it is essential to optimize the routing protocol and number of CHs in order to minimize the energy consumption for data transmissions. Decentralized clustering protocols that differentiate intra-cluster and inter-cluster communications reduce the number of transmissions and routing control packets thereby longer lifetime for the network.<sup>[6]</sup> CDMA-based LEACH protocol uses a different set of codes in each cluster to reduce inter-cluster interference.<sup>[7]</sup> Rank-based algorithms consider a number of links between nodes and path cost while electing CHs, LEACH protocol based on node rank enhances the lifetime of WSN.<sup>[8]</sup> Using Voronoi diagrams to form clusters and ant colony algorithms to optimize multi-hop routing can improve the first node death time by 14.5% and 127% compared to SEP and LEACH protocols respectively.<sup>[9]</sup> A Combination of ARSH-FATI-based CH selection algorithm and rank-based clustering minimizes the consumption of communication energy at sensor nodes and this algorithm switches dynamically between exploration and exploitation of the search process during the run-time to have a 25% higher network lifetime over PSO.<sup>[10]</sup> Fuzzy-based

clustering provides efficient data aggregation and enhances the life span of WSNs.<sup>[11,12]</sup> In heterogeneous WSNs, adaptive clustering routing techniques consider residual energy at a given node and its location for efficient CHs selection. The nodes which are close to BS and have more residual energy become CH with a higher probability. The CHs which are far away from BS use multi-hop routing and the other nodes use single-hop routing. These features balance energy consumption and enhance the network lifetime, and network throughput over SEP and DEEC protocols.<sup>[13]</sup> A hybrid meta-heuristic algorithm with a combination of cuckoo search and Krill herd for homogeneous and heterogeneous WSNs environments enhances their lifetime. The Krill herd algorithm is used to compute the optimal cluster centroid positions and a cuckoo search algorithm is applied to select optimal CHs.<sup>[14]</sup>

### 3. Performance optimization of WSN based on the GWO algorithm

The selection of CH is not optimal in conventional LEACH schemes, therefore usage of GWO improves the optimal selection of CH in the LEACH protocol.<sup>[15]</sup> GWO is a unique metaheuristic algorithm that mimics the social behavior of grey wolves with respect to their leadership hierarchy and attacking strategy. Localization problems (search for the geographical position of unknown nodes using anchor nodes) in WSNs can be resolved using the GWO algorithm. CHs selection using GWO considers the distance between clusters and sink, the current residual energy of each node, and predicted energy consumption. This technique considers the same clustering in consecutive rounds to enhance energy efficiency and the saved energy can be utilized for cluster reformation. For CHs that are far away from BS can use dual-hop routing and it ensures optimum energy consumption.<sup>[16]</sup> GWO is applied in defining objective functions and weights for efficient cluster formation and CH selection.<sup>[17]</sup> Appropriate fitness function ensures the coverage of WSN and it is fed to the GWO algorithm to determine its optimum. This procedure outperforms the LEACH clustering and routing algorithm in terms of network throughput, lifetime, and, residual energy.<sup>[18]</sup> Energy efficiency and network stability are two typical trade-off parameters in WSNs. Distance-based stable CDS method and clustering algorithms using GWO in WSN minimize effective transmission distance with deterministic CH selection and achieves a load-balanced, energy-efficient, and stable network. These algorithms perform much better than GA and LEACH by 70.5% and 74.7% respectively in terms of network stability and energy efficiency.<sup>[19]</sup> To improve the energy efficiency of the clustering mechanism, a combination of two meta-heuristic algorithms named whale and GWO can be used to define the objective function for the formation of an optimal number of clusters, dynamic CH selection, and relay node selection on a priority basis.<sup>[20,21]</sup> MLHP with three levels based on the GWO for WSNs shows better performance in terms of maximum

residual energy, network lifetime, and stability period compared to other algorithms named LEACH, DEEC, and SEP. At level 1, BS selects CHs, at level 2, GWO routing gives the best route to BS for data transfer, and at level 3, distributed clustering is performed based on the cost function.<sup>[22]</sup> In the cluster-based architecture of WSNs, gateways which are far from BS communicate with BS through the gateways that are close to BS. This causes faster energy depletion at gateways closer to BS because of heavy traffic load and causes energy hole problems around BS. GWO can resolve the energy hole issue by distributing traffic load with minimum traversal distance and number of hops. The GWO-based algorithm performs better than GA, PSO, and multi-objective fuzzy clustering.<sup>[23]</sup> The percentage of localized nodes, quick convergence rate, and success rate of the GWO algorithm are higher with less computation time and, localization error compared to PSO, and MBA metaheuristic algorithms.<sup>[24]</sup> Random deployment of sensor nodes causes a high degree of aggregation and a low coverage rate. The simulated annealing method embedded into GWO avoids falling into local optimum, improves convergence rate, accelerates the convergence speed, and coverage optimization and this leads to higher network stability and network life cycle in WSNs.<sup>[25]</sup> GWO is used to compute the threshold for sensor decision rules from the fusion center that is independent of initial values and with lesser complexity. The results of this algorithm demonstrate the reduced buyer's risk by 15%-20% of the fusion system.<sup>[26]</sup> The Virtual Force-Levy technique embedded with the GWO algorithm effectively enhances the coverage optimization in WSNs in terms of uniformity in node distribution, node's average moving distance, changes in the number of nodes, and coverage rate.<sup>[27]</sup> The robust deployment of WSNs for industrial applications introduces redundant nodes and causes overhead. A Quantum clone GWO algorithm is proposed to design and optimize the sensor duty cycle, also avoiding falling into a local optimum. The convergence speed of this algorithm is much faster than GA, and SA, and enhances the network lifetime.<sup>[28]</sup> The combination of crow search optimization with the GWO algorithm for optimal CH selection enhances the network lifetime by reducing delay, energy stabilization, and distance between nodes.<sup>[29]</sup> Providing energy efficiency in heterogeneous WSNs is much more challenging due to the irrational utilization of energy at nodes. For this kind of network, GWO provides a solution based on the node's fitness values. The fitness values are treated as weights and they are dynamically updated based on the distance between wolves and their prey. This algorithm ensures the optimal CHs selection and enhances the network lifetime by 55.7%, 46.3%, 27.0%, and 31.9% over SEP, modified SEP, fitness value based-GWO, and DEEC respectively.<sup>[30]</sup> The combination of GWO and GOA algorithms improves the convergence levels of meta-heuristic algorithms.<sup>[31]</sup> GWO can be used in the implementation of serverless systems to improve task allocation and minimize runtimes.<sup>[32]</sup> The performance of intrusion detection systems in

WSNs can be enhanced using binary GWO with a support vector machine. These techniques can minimize false alarm rates in the WSN environment thereby detection rate and accuracy of the intrusion detection system are increased with minimum processing and execution times.<sup>[33]</sup> Energy-aware clustering in WSNs based on fuzzy logic improves the formation of clusters and CH selection. This technique uses a neural network to have an optimum training set of energy and density values at all sensor nodes to compute the expected energy for uncertain CHs.<sup>[34]</sup> “Optimal Clustering in Circular Networks” is proposed where a single-hop communication between the sink and CHs is replaced with optimal multi-hops to enhance the WSN's lifetime by reducing energy consumption.<sup>[35]</sup> The precision of the node positions is a very significant parameter for effective data transmission and enhances the network lifetime in WSNs. Geographic routing based on weighted centroid localization improves the precision of node positions which uses fuzzy logic to compute the position of unknown nodes. This technique helps in the efficient selection of next-hop CH for minimizing energy dissipation and enhancing network lifetime.<sup>[36]</sup> An improved version of GWO which is based on the fitness value ensures optimal distribution of CHs and enhances the chances of finding the optimal solution in GWO. To decrease the energy consumption, each sensor node's communication distance is recomputed based on the distance between BS and CHs. This feature improves the WSN in terms of throughput, energy consumption, and, stability by 57.8% compared to the LEACH protocol. The optimum method for selecting CHs and their distribution with balanced cluster structures can be achieved using fitness value-based GWO. This optimal solution ensures that the node in a cluster located near BS and having maximum energy becomes CH. To decrease the energy consumption, the communication range of sensor nodes is recalculated as per the distances between BS and CHs, which means that when a new CH is elected. This approach minimizes the average communication distance, reduces energy consumption, and improves WSN stability by 31.5% and 57.8% compared to SEP, and LEACH protocols respectively.<sup>[37]</sup> IGWO algorithm benefits from the DLH search strategy which is inherited from individual wolf's hunting behavior. DLH uses dimension learning to collect neighbor's information from every wolf and this information is shared among other wolves. This DLH search strategy maintains diversity as well as improves balancing between global and local search to improve convergence speed. The results of the engineering experiments and statistical reports prove that the applicability, optimal

performance, effectiveness, solution stability, convergence speed, robustness, convergence precision, and efficiency of the IGWO algorithm outperforms the other existing metaheuristic algorithms.<sup>[38,39]</sup> Dynamic mutation strategy improves the diversity of wolves and effectively increases the search range. This improved version of GWO gives faster convergence, high coverage, and, search precision in WSNs even in the presence of obstacles of various shapes (rectangular, trapezoidal, and triangular). The convergence and coverage performance of the improved version of GWO is better than GA, PSO, and conventional GWO algorithms thereby minimizing the deployment cost of WSN.<sup>[40]</sup> The introduction of biological evolution and elimination mechanisms using the “survival of the fittest” principle in the GWO algorithm gives a balance between exploitation and exploration to accelerate its optimization accuracy and convergence.<sup>[41]</sup>

#### 4. Proposed method

The energy model as shown in Fig. 2 is followed by LEACH protocol with the two-channel model as free space ( $d^2$ ) for the single-hop path and multipath fading ( $d^4$ ) for the multi-hop path.

So the energy consumption of m-bit packets over distance 'd' is computed as:

$$E_{TX}(m, d) = \begin{cases} mE_{elec} + m e_{fs} d^2 & d < d_0 \\ mE_{elec} + m e_{mp} d^4 & d \geq d_0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $e_{fs}$  is free space energy loss,  $m$  is packet length,  $e_{mp}$  is multipath fading loss,  $d$  is the distance between sender and receiving node,  $d_0 = \sqrt{e_{fs}/e_{mp}}$  → threshold distance,  $E_{elec}$  is circuit energy consumption.

The energy variable depends on the node distance, so via optimizing node distance, we can minimize the energy consumption at every sensor node in WSN. The IGWO algorithm is designed to avoid the impact of premature convergence in conventional GWO algorithms. Some of the features are modified to provide a cost-effective solution to a WSN. The IGWO is a kind of swarm intelligence optimization as the objective function does not include strong mathematical formulations and does not demand specific requirements for the objective function. The benefits of the proposed algorithm include higher robustness, conversion precision, and higher speed of convergence. The mathematic model of the GWO algorithm which is influenced by the hunting strategy of the grey wolves is as follows:




Fig. 2 Radio energy model of a sensor node.

The hunting process can be formulated as follows:

$$\vec{D} = |\vec{C} \cdot \vec{X}_p(k) - \vec{X}(k)|, \vec{X}(k+1) = |\vec{X}_p(k) - \vec{A} \cdot \vec{D}| \quad (3)$$

$\vec{D}$  is the distance between prey and wolf, in WSN,  $\vec{D}$  is the distance between CH and the sensor node.  $\vec{X}$  is the position of the wolf and in WSN, it is the position of the sensor node.  $\vec{X}_p$  is the position of prey and in WSN, it is the position of CH.  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{C}$  are constant parameters that are measured using:

$$\vec{A} = 2\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}_1 - \vec{a}, \vec{C} = 2\vec{r}_2 \quad (4)$$

$\vec{r}_1$  and  $\vec{r}_2$  are arbitrary vectors between values 0 and 1. The parameter  $\vec{a}$  is equal to  $2 \cdot (1 - t / \text{maximum iterations})$  and it reduces linearly from 2 to 0 and helps to control the flow of the algorithm. The changes that were inculcated in the WSN clustering protocol for the selection of CH include ‘‘Gaussian perturbation’’ and ‘‘Cosine control factor’’.

### 4.1 Gaussian perturbation

The value of  $|\vec{C}|$  in equation 3 is used to avoid falling of algorithm into local optima as it showcases random behavior. The initial selection of the sensor node was random due to which there are chances of the CH falling into premature convergence. To avoid this convergence, the co-efficient  $|\vec{C}|$  in equation 3 is replaced with Gaussian perturbation value. This helps to maintain the diversity of sensor nodes that in turn helps to keep away the local optima.

### 4.2 Cosine control factor

Another factor that is added to the IGWO algorithm is the cosine control factor. The vector  $\vec{A}$  equation 4 is used to balance the search capability. It comprises factor  $\vec{a}$  that decreases from 2 to 0 in a linear fashion and hence acts as an attenuation factor. The cosine control factor helps to enhance the search range and improvises the ability to search globally. In addition to the change in attenuation factor, IGWO also includes weight cosine control factor  $W(t)$ . This value changes in compliance with the factor  $\vec{a}$  to elevate the global search capability.

### 4.3 Weight functions for CH selection using GWO

The proposed algorithm selects the initial CH using the LEACH protocol and later it optimizes the selection using the GWO algorithm. Implementation of the algorithm is performed at BS to select optimum CHs and further form optimum clusters using the weight functions. The objective function considers intra-cluster distance, residual energy of the sensor nodes, and neighborhood ratio. Further modification of GWO *i.e.* IGWO is carried out to select the CH. The IGWO is a combination of two modifications that include Gaussian perturbation and the Cosine control factor.

The weight function combines two objective functions. The first objective function is based on the distance between sensor nodes and distance from BS, it is mathematically modeled as follows:

$$\vec{X}_1 = |\vec{X}\alpha - W(t) \cdot \vec{A} \cdot \vec{D}\alpha|$$

$$F_1 = \sum_{j=1}^m D(SN, SNj) + D(SN, BS) \quad (5)$$

$D(SN, SNj)$  is the distance between a given sensor node and all other sensor nodes, and  $D(SN, BS)$  is the distance between a given sensor node and BS.

This objective function is calculated for all the nodes and a sensor node with minimum value is given preference. The second objective function is based on the residual energy of nodes and it is mathematically modeled as

$$F_2 = 1 / (\sum_{j=1}^m \text{Eresi}(j)) \quad (6)$$

$\text{Eresi}(j)$  is the remaining energy at a sensor node ‘j’ and the purpose is to minimize both objective functions.

The combined weight function is given as

$$F = m \times F_1 + (1 - m) F_2 \quad \text{where } 0 < m < 1 \quad (7)$$

‘m’ is the weight parameter. The sensor node with the least objective value is chosen as the CH of the cluster. The cluster members belonging to a cluster send data to the selected CH and further CH delivers the aggregated data to BS.

### 4.4 Formation of clusters

CHs are picked using three criteria that are neighborhood ratio, residual energy, and distance between intra-cluster and BS. There is a definite function that will help in the formation of clusters and that is mathematically formulated as follows:

$$CHw(SNi, CHj) = K \times \frac{\text{Eresi}(CHj)}{D(SNi, CHj) \times D(CHj, BS) \times Rn(CHj)} \quad (8)$$

$CHw(SNi, CHj)$  will define which sensor node will join the  $j^{\text{th}}$  CH.  $K$  is a constant parameter.  $\text{Eresi}$  is residual energy at  $j^{\text{th}}$  CH.  $D(SNi, CHj)$  is the distance between the  $i^{\text{th}}$  sensor node and  $j^{\text{th}}$  CH.  $D(CHj, BS)$  is the distance between  $j^{\text{th}}$  CH and BS.  $Rn(CHj)$  is the neighborhood ratio of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  CH. The  $i^{\text{th}}$  sensor node with a higher value will join the respective CH.

### 4.5 Inclusion of gaussian perturbation and cosine control factor

These two factors are inculcated in GWO to improvise the algorithm. The Gaussian value is described with the help of variance ( $\sigma^2$ ), mean ( $\mu$ ), the upper limit (ul), and lower limit (ll). The steps to find a Gaussian perturbation value are:

$x = \mu + \text{rand}(200, 1) * \sigma$ ; This generates sufficient random value to choose from.

$\text{idx} = (\text{ll} \leq x) \ \& \ (x \leq \text{ul})$ ; This helps to extract the value in the given range [ll ul].

$x = x(\text{idx})$ ; This is used to select the values within the range.

The Gaussian value generated by the above expression replaces the constant  $|\vec{C}|$  in equation 3. The new expression for distance between wolf and prey in the grey wolf pack and analogously the distances between the alpha, beta, and delta sensor nodes with all other nodes take a vector form which is given as:

$$\vec{D} = |\text{Gaussian}(\delta) \cdot \vec{X}_p(k) - \vec{X}(k)| \quad (9)$$

Due to the change in the distance formula, the equations providing the location of sensor nodes also get necessary

changes and give optimized locations. The addition of a cosine control factor changes the value of  $\vec{a}$  given in equation 4. It is modified to provide better results during the search process of optimization. The new value of  $\vec{a}$  after the inclusion of the cosine factor is given as follows:

$$\vec{a} = 2 \times \cos\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{t}{\text{maximum iterations}}\right)\right) \quad (10)$$

Another factor included in defining the position of nodes *i.e.* the weight cosine control factor is given as:

$$W(t) = \cos\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{t}{\text{maximum iterations}}\right)\right) \quad (11)$$

The CHs are changed depending on their fitness value and the mathematical model is given as follows:

$$\vec{D}_\alpha = |\vec{C}_1 \cdot \vec{X}_\alpha(k) - \vec{X}|, \quad \vec{D}_\beta = |\vec{C}_2 \cdot \vec{X}_\beta(k) - \vec{X}|, \quad \vec{D}_\delta = |\vec{C}_2 \cdot \vec{X}_\delta(k) - \vec{X}| \quad (12)$$

$$\vec{X}_1 = |\vec{X}_\alpha - W(t) \cdot \vec{A}_1 \cdot \vec{D}_\alpha|, \quad \vec{X}_2 = |\vec{X}_\beta - W(t) \cdot \vec{A}_2 \cdot \vec{D}_\beta|, \quad (13)$$

$$\vec{X}_3 = |\vec{X}_\delta - W(t) \cdot \vec{A}_3 \cdot \vec{D}_\delta| \quad (14)$$

$$\vec{X}(k+1) = |\vec{X}_1 + \vec{X}_2 + \vec{X}_3|/3$$

#### 4.6 Steps to integrate IGWO algorithm with LEACH protocol

**Input:** Define network parameters.

**Step 1:** Place sensor nodes at the required positions.

**Step 2:** Select CHs based on the threshold function

**Step 3:** While (operating nodes > 0)

**Step 4:** Compute the objective function

Define node's fitness,  $F(SN_i)$ ,  $\forall$  sensor nodes.

**Step 5:** Select  $\alpha = \min F(SN_i)$

$\beta = \min F(SN_{i-1})$

$\delta = \min F(SN_{i-2})$ .

**Step 6:** while ( $i < i_{\max}$ )

For  $j = 1 : N_p$

Update the sensor node's position as per equations 12, 13, and 14.

Calculate the fitness value using an objective function

Update  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\delta$ .

End for;

**Step 7:** Select  $\alpha$  node as CH.

**Step 8:** Compute the energy dissipation at each round as per equations 3, and 4.

$i = i+1$ ;

End While loop;

**Step 9:** operating nodes  $\leq 0$


End While loop;

**Step 10:** Note the outputs and plot the graphs;

#### 5. Results and discussions

This section covers the performance of the LEACH protocol integrated with a meta-heuristic algorithm named IGWO as shown in section 3 and the results are compared with the state-of-the-art energy-efficient algorithms [16,17] in WSNs including conventional LEACH algorithm and LEACH integrated with GWO algorithm. The simulations are conducted using

MATLAB 2021b tool and result analysis is performed by creating a geographical area of 100m x 100m with random placement of sensor nodes as shown in Fig. 3 and the sets of simulated results (where each set of results are averaged for fifteen simulations) are considered for comparison. The simulation parameters that were set while experimenting with the LEACH protocol by placing BS at different locations of the network and the probability of CH selection values are given in Table 1. The sensor node locations are predefined to ease the process of comparison and to provide accurate results when compared with the existing GWO-based algorithm.




**Fig. 3** Node distribution in the WSN network generated for 100 nodes and placed randomly.

**Table 1.** Initial Parameters of LEACH Protocol for Simulations.

Parameter	Value
Network Field Dimensions	100 m × 100 m
Total Number of Sensor Nodes (N <sub>p</sub> )	50, 100
Initial Energy (E <sub>0</sub> )	2J, 0.5J
Probability to become CH (P)	0.05, 0.1
Number of CHs	P × 100
E <sub>fs</sub> , E <sub>elec</sub> , E <sub>amp</sub>	10pj/bit/m <sup>2</sup> , 50nj/bit, 0.0013pj/bit/m <sup>4</sup>
D <sub>max</sub> , D <sub>critical</sub>	100m, 30m
Data Packet size	4000 bits
Position of BS	(0, 0), (50, 50), (100, 100)

The parameters considered to analyze the performance matrix of WSN concerning the energy efficiency and life span of the network are the total number of operating rounds, number of transmissions, and energy consumed per transmission. The average of simulated values is calculated to estimate the approximate value of network life when similar parameters are given as input to the WSN.

The simulated results of WSN for 50 nodes using conventional LEACH protocol and its integrated versions with GWO, and IGWO algorithms are illustrated in Table 2. The total number of operational rounds has been increased in the integrated versions of LEACH protocol *i.e.* LEACH with GWO and IGWO algorithms by 544.8% and 869.6%



**Fig. 4** (a) the Total number of operational nodes per round in a WSN of 50 nodes with CH probability of 10%. (b) Total number of operational nodes per round in a WSN of 50 nodes with CH probability of 5%.

respectively compared to conventional LEACH protocol when the CH selection probability is 10% as shown in Fig. 4a. Similarly, the total number of operational rounds using LEACH integrated with GWO, and IGWO algorithms have been increased by 367.4% and 441.4% respectively compared to the conventional LEACH algorithm with the CH selection probability of 5% as shown in Fig. 4b.

The simulated results of WSN with 100 nodes using conventional LEACH protocol and its integrated (with GWO and IGWO algorithms) versions are illustrated in Table 3. The total number of operational rounds has been increased in the LEACH protocol integrated with GWO and IGWO algorithms by 1288.5% and 990.8% respectively compared to the conventional LEACH protocol with a CH selection probability


of 10% as shown in Fig. 5a. Similarly, the total number of operational rounds has been increased in the LEACH protocol integrated with GWO and IGWO algorithms by 1101% and 699.8% respectively compared to conventional LEACH protocol with CH selection probability of 5% as shown in Fig. 5b. Surprisingly, for a WSN with 100 nodes, the total number of operational rounds is higher in LEACH protocol integrated with GWO algorithm compared to LEACH protocol integrated with IGWO algorithm for both cases where CH selection probability is 10% and 5%. Figs. 6a, 6b, and 6c show the energy consumption levels in a conventional LEACH protocol, GWO, and IGWO algorithms. Lower energy levels are observed in the IGWO algorithm compared to the conventional GWO algorithm.

**Table 2.** A number of operating rounds in the WSN of size 50 nodes with BS located at (50, 50).


Parameters	Set Number	Conventional LEACH		LEACH with GWO		LEACH with IGWO	
CH selection Probability		p = 0.1	P = 0.05	p = 0.1	p = 0.05	p = 0.1	P = 0.05
	1	1724	2746	10000	11209	16279	13240
Total number of operating rounds	2	1838	3167	10239	11909	16473	13543
	3	1893	3492	10614	12538	16522	14929
	4	2181	3725	10751	12588	17123	16243

**Table 3.** A number of operating rounds in the WSN of size 100 nodes with BS located at (50, 50).

Parameters	Set Number	Conventional LEACH		LEACH with GWO		LEACH with IGWO	
CH selection Probability		p = 0.1	P = 0.05	p = 0.1	p = 0.05	p = 0.1	P = 0.05
	1	2017	3215	23776	36240	17421	20491
Total number of operating rounds	2	2082	3469	25424	38819	18240	21107
	3	2122	3626	29284	41267	24141	29796
	4	2400	4193	32587	43350	25618	30109




**Fig. 5** (a) The total number of operational nodes per round in a WSN of 100 nodes with a CH probability of 10%. (b) A total number of operational nodes per round in a WSN of 100 nodes with a CH probability of 5%.



**Fig. 6** Energy consumption performance comparison of conventional LEACH protocol and LEACH integrated with GWO, IGWO algorithms in a WSN of size 100 nodes. (a) Energy consumption per transmission in a conventional LEACH protocol, (b) Energy consumption per transmission in a LEACH protocol integrated with GWO algorithm, (c) Energy consumption per transmission in a LEACH protocol integrated with IGWO algorithm.

Figures 7a, 7b, and 7c show the comparison of the number of operational nodes per round in the IGWO-based LEACH protocol, GWO-based LEACH, and the conventional LEACH. The number of operational nodes indicates the activities in the network using live nodes. From the graphs mentioned in Fig. 7, it is very clear that IGWO-based LEACH has outperformed the existing GWO algorithms.





**Fig. 7** Comparison of Number of operational nodes per round (a) Number of operational nodes per round in a conventional LEACH Protocol, (b) Number of operational nodes per round in a LEACH Protocol integrated with GWO Algorithm, (c) Number of operational nodes per round in a LEACH Protocol integrated with IGWO Algorithm.

**6. Conclusions and future scope**

In this research article, we have attempted to enhance the lifetime of the sensor networks in terms of the number of operational rounds and reduce the number of transmissions using a novel meta-heuristic approach. The prominent clustering protocol known as LEACH for WSNs is considered and it is integrated with an improved version of the GWO algorithm called IGWO. The results were noted for different CH selection probabilities and node densities by locating BS

at the center of the network terrain. By reducing the CH selection probability from 0.1 to 0.05, the network lifetime is higher as the number of rounds is higher in WSN that uses the proposed IGWO algorithm-based LEACH protocol. From the overall results, it is strongly recommended to use meta-heuristic algorithms in enhancing the energy efficiency of WSNs as the LEACH protocol integrated with the IGWO algorithm gives more network lifetime with optimum results than conventional and GWO-based LEACH protocols which

are recently proposed. As future work, we can test the proposed algorithm in the IoT and IoE networks that deal with large sensor networks in terms of network terrain and node density. The proposed algorithm can also be extended for heterogeneous sensor networks with added efforts to reduce energy consumption by computing optimum probabilities in selecting CHs.

### Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### Supporting information

Nor applicable.

### Nomenclature

p: percentage of desired CHs among all the network nodes

r: current round number

G: a set of non-selected CH nodes in the last  $1/p$  rounds

$e_{fs}$ : free space energy loss

m: packet length

$e_{mp}$ : multipath fading loss

d: distance between sender and receiving node

$d_0$ : threshold distance

$E_{elec}$ : energy consumption by the electronic circuitry

$E_{amp}$ : energy consumed by the amplifier for bit transmissions

$T(n)$ : threshold energy

$\vec{a}$ : attenuation factor

$\vec{D}$ : distance between prey and wolf

$\vec{X}$ : position of the wolf

$\vec{X}_p$ : position of prey

$\vec{A}, \vec{C}$ : constant parameters

$\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2$ : arbitrary vectors between [0 1]

$D(SN, SN_j)$ : distance between a given sensor node 'j' and all other sensor nodes

$D(SN, BS)$ : distance between a given sensor node and BS

$E_{resi}(j)$ : remaining energy at a sensor node 'j'

m: weight parameter

$F_1, F_2$ : combined weight functions

F: combined weight function

m: weight parameter

$CH_w(SN_i, CH_j)$ : defines the sensor node that joins the  $j^{th}$  CH

K: constant parameter

$E_{resi}$ : residual energy at  $j^{th}$  CH

$D(SN_i, CH_j)$ : distance between  $i^{th}$  sensor node and  $j^{th}$  CH

$D(CH_j, BS)$ : distance between  $j^{th}$  CH and BS

$R_n(CH_j)$ : neighborhood ratio of the  $j^{th}$  CH

W(t): weight cosine control factor

$\sigma^2$ : variance

$\mu$ : mean,

ul: upper limit

ll: lower limit

### Glossary

ACO ant colony optimization

BS base station

CDMA code division multiple access

CDS connected dominating set

CH cluster head

DE differential evolution

DEC distributed energy-efficient clustering

DLH dimension learning-based hunting

EP evolutionary programming

ES evolution strategy

GA genetic algorithm

GOA grasshopper optimization algorithm

GSA gravitational search algorithm

GWO grey wolf optimization

IGWO improved grey wolf optimization

IIoT industrial IoT

IoT internet of everything

IoT internet of things

LEACH low energy aware clustering hierarchy

MAC medium access control

MBA modified bat algorithm

MLHP multilayer hierarchical routing protocol

PSO particle swarm optimization

SA simulated annealing

SEP stable election protocol

TDMA time division multiple access

WSN wireless sensor network

### References

- [1] M. Al-Shalabi, M. Anbar, T.-C. Wan, A. Khasawneh, *Electronics*, 2018, **7**, 136, doi: 10.3390/electronics7080136.
- [2] A. Gosal, S. Halder, K. S. Das, *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 2020, **141**, 129-142, doi: 10.1016/j.jpdc.2020.03.014.
- [3] S. Mirjalili, S. M. Mirjalili, A. Lewis, Grey wolf optimizer, *Advances in Engineering Software*, 2014, **69**, 46-61, doi: 10.1016/j.advengsoft.2013.12.007.
- [4] M. Panda, B. Das, *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Microelectronics, Computing and Communication Systems*, Singapore, 2019, **556**, 179-194, doi: 10.1007/978-981-13-7091-5\_17.
- [5] S. E. Pour, R. Javidan, *IET Wireless Sensor Systems*, 2021, **11**, 45-53, doi: 10.1049/wss2.12007.
- [6] M. Sabet, H. Naji, *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 2016, **56**, 399-417, doi: 10.1016/j.compeleceng.2016.07.009.
- [7] M. H. Homaei, *MATLAB Central File Exchange*, retrieved May 21, 2021, <https://www.mathworks.com/fileexchange/44073-low-energy-adaptive-clustering-hierarchy-protocol-leach>.
- [8] A. Al-Baz, A. El-Sayed, *International journal of communication systems*, 2018, **31**, 1-13, doi:10.1002/dac.3407.
- [9] H. Liang, S. Yang, L. Li, J. Gao, *The Journal of Defense Modeling and Simulation*, 2019, **194**, 1-12, doi: 10.1186/s13638019-1509-y.
- [10] H. Ali, U. U. Tariq, M. Hussain, L. Lu, J. Panneerselvam, X. Zhai, *IEEE Systems Journal*, 2021, **15**, 2386-2397, doi:

- 10.1109/jsyst.2020.2986811.
- [11] S. A. Sert, A. Yazici, *Applied Soft Computing*, 2021, **109**, 107510, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2021.107510.
- [12] S. A. Sert, A. Alchihabi, A. Yazici, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 2018, **26**, 3615-3629, doi: 10.1109/tfuzz.2018.2841369.
- [13] H. Zhongdong, W. Hualin, W. Zhendong, *International Journal of Wireless and Mobile Computing*, 2019, **16**, 264-272, doi: 10.1504/IJWMC.2019.099867.
- [14] U. E. Zachariah, L. Kuppusamy, *Evolutionary Intelligence*, 2022, **15**, 593-605, doi: 10.1007/s12065-020-00535-0.
- [15] D. Agrawal, M. H. W. Qureshi, P. Pincha, P. Srivastava, S. Agarwal, V. Tiwari, S. Pandey, *International Journal of Communication Systems*, 2020, **33**, 1-15, doi: 10.1002/dac.4344.
- [16] S. M. M. H. Daneshvar, P. Alikhah Ahari Mohajer, S. M. Mazinani, *IEEE Access*, 2019, **7**, 170019-170031, doi: 10.1109/access.2019.295599
- [17] K. Sekaran, R. Rajakumar, K. Dinesh, Y. Rajkumar, T. P. Latchoumi, S. Kadry, S. Lim, *TELKOMNIKA*, 2020, **18**, 2822, doi: 10.12928/telkomnika.v18i6.15199.
- [18] J. Klink, *Ninth international conference on advanced computational intelligence, ICACI 2017*, Doha, Qatar, February 4-6, 2017.
- [19] A. Kaushik, S. Indu, D. Gupta, *Wireless Personal Communications*, 2019, **106**, 1429-1449, doi: 10.1007/s11277-019-06223-2.
- [20] N. Mittal, U. Singh, R. Salgotra, B. S. Sohi, *Wireless Networks*, 2019, **25**, 5151-5172, doi: 10.1007/s11276-019-02123-2.
- [21] R. S. Rathore, S. Sangwan, S. Prakash, K. Adhikari, R. Kharel, Y. Cao, *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, 2020, **101**, doi: 10.1186/s13638-020-01721-5.
- [22] N. A. Al-Aboody and H. S. Al-Raweshidy, *4th international symposium on computational and business intelligence*, 2016, 101-107, doi: 10.1109/ISCBI.2016.7743266.
- [23] A. Lipare, D. R. Edla, V. Kuppili, *Applied Soft Computing*, 2019, **84**, 105706, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105706.
- [24] R. Rajakumar, J. Amudhavel, P. Dhavachelvan, T. Vengattaraman, *Journal of computer networks and communications*, 2017, 7348141, doi: 10.1155/2017/7348141.
- [25] Y. Zhang, L. Cao, Y. Yue, Y. Cai, B. Hang, *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2021, 6688408, doi: 10.1155/2021/6688408.
- [26] I. A. Saleh, O. I. Alsaif, M. A. Yahya, *IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)*, 2020, **9**, 646, doi: 10.11591/ijai.v9.i4.pp646-654.
- [27] W. Shipeng, Y. Xiaoping, W. Xingqiao, Q. Zhihong, *Sensors*, 2019, **19**, 1-20, doi: 10.3390/s19122735.
- [28] Y. Liu, X. Jing, C. Li, H. Qin, Z. Jie, *Journal of Sensors*, 2021, 5511745, doi: 10.1155/2021/5511745.
- [29] P. Subramanian, J. M. Sahayaraj, S. Senthilkumar, D. S. Alex, *Wireless Personal Communications*, 2020, **113**, 905-925, doi: 10.1007/s11277-020-07259-5.
- [30] X. Zhao, S. Ren, H. Quan, Q. Gao, *Sensors*, 2020, **20**, 820, doi: 10.3390/s20030820.
- [31] R. Purushothaman, S. P. Rajagopalan, G. Dhandapani, *Applied Soft Computing*, 2020, **96**, 106651, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106651.
- [32] N. Yuvaraj, T. Karthikeyan, K. Praghash, *Wireless Personal Communications*, 2021, **117**, 2403-2421, doi: 10.1007/s11277-020-07981-0.
- [33] M. Safaldin, M. Otair, L. Abualigah, *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 2021, **12**, 1559-1576, doi: 10.1007/s12652-020-02228-z.
- [34] Y. Harold Robinson, E. Golden Julie, S. Balaji, A. Ayyasamy, *Wireless Personal Communications*, 2017, **95**, 703-721, doi: 10.1007/s11277-016-3793-8.
- [35] A. Mahdi, E. Mohammad, E. Maryam, F. Mohseni, A. Arghavani, *Ad Hoc Networks*, 2017, **65**, 91-98, doi: 10.1016/j.adhoc.2017.07.006.
- [36] S. Amri, F. Khelifi, A. Bradai, A. Rachedi, M. L. Kaddachi, M. Atri, *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 2019, **93**, 799-813, doi: 10.1016/j.future.2017.10.023.
- [37] X. Zhao, H. Zhu, S. Aleksic, Q. Gao, *KSII Transactions on Internet and Information Systems*, 2018, **12**, 2644-2657, doi:10.3837/tiis.2018.06.011.
- [38] M. H. Nadimi-Shahraki, S. Taghian, S. Mirjalili, *Expert Systems With Applications*, 2021, **166**, 113917, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113917.
- [39] Y. Li, X. Lin, J. Liu, *Sustainability*, 2021, **13**, 3208, doi: 10.3390/su13063208.
- [40] Z. Wang, H. Xie, Z. Hu, D. Li, J. Wang, W. Liang, *Journal of Algorithms & Computational Technology*, 2019, **13**, 1-15, doi: 10.1177/1748302619889498.
- [41] J.S. Wang, S.X. Li, *Scientific reports*, 2019, **9**, 1-21, doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-43546-3.
- [42] Z. Yousif, I. Hussain, S. Djahel, Y.J. Hadjadj-Aoul, *Journal of sensor and actuator networks*, 2021, **10**, 1-21, doi: 10.3390/jsan10030050.

### Author Information



**Kanthi M** is currently working as Professor in Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering at Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, India. She has completed her Bachelor of Technology from Malnad College of Engineering, Hassan and Master of Technology in Digital Electronics and Communication Engineering from NITK, Suratkal, Karnataka. She has completed her Ph.D. in the year 2014 from MAHE, Manipal, Karnataka, India. She has published 15 research articles National and International Journals and Conference Proceedings. She has 25 years of teaching and research experience in the fields of Microcontrollers and Embedded Systems, Communication Networks, System on Chip Design, Embedded System Design, ARM Processor Architecture, and Embedded Control Systems. Her areas of interest include Real

time systems, Artificial Intelligence, Embedded control systems, Wireless Sensor Networks for biomedical applications. As a technical program committee member, she has reviewed more than 20 research articles for Scopus/Web of Science Journals and conferences.



**Ravilla Dilli** is currently working as Associate Professor in Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering at Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, India. He has completed his Bachelor

of Technology from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad and Master of Engineering in Applied Electronics from Satyabhama University. He has completed his Ph.D. in the year 2018 from JNTUH, Hyderabad, India. He holds 4 best research paper awards to his credit and has published 32 research papers in National and International Journals and Conference Proceedings. He has 19 years of teaching and research experience in the fields of 5G and 6G Wireless Technologies, Internet of Things, Communication Networks, Network Security, Mobile Communication Systems, Routing Protocols in Mobile Ad hoc Networks (MANETs) and Wireless Sensor Networks, Vehicular Ad hoc Networks (VANETs). As a technical program committee member, he has reviewed more than 120 research articles for Scopus/Web of Science Journals include *Wireless Networks*, *IEEE Access*, *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, *Wireless Personal Communications*, *International Journal of Electronics*, *Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence*, *International Journal of Wireless Information Networks*, *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, *International Journal of Informatics and Communication Technology (IJ-ICT)*, *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, *International Journal of Computer Engineering Research*, also International Conferences sponsored by IEEE and Springer.

**Publisher's Note:** Engineered Science Publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.