A Developed Low-Cost Electrocoagulation Process for Efficient Phosphate and COD Removals from Real Urban Wastewater

M. Elazzouzi,^{1,2*} K. Haboubi,² M.S. Elyoubi¹ and A. El Kasmi^{3,*}

The treatment of urban wastewater is nowadays one of the topics taking many interests from the scientific community. This study focuses on simultaneous removal of phosphate (P) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) from real urban wastewater using developed electrocoagulation (EC) system. A comparative study of developed EC process (anode iron scrap) with a conventional EC process (anode iron plate) was performed. When developed EC process was used, the results revealed that the removals efficiencies of P and COD have been improved from 82% to 94% for COD and from 85 % up to 97% for P, thus led to reduce energy consumption from 7.6×10^{-3} to 5.4×10^{-3} kWh/g COD and from 5.8×10^{-1} to 4.3×10^{-1} kWh/g P. The higher treatment efficiency obtained from the developed EC process can be directly attributed to the higher effective surface area of the Fe scrap. Moreover, the characterization of generated EC by-products revealed the existence of P, C and O confirming that such pollutants were adsorbed on the surface of iron hydroxides and oxyhydroxides, where the removals of P and COD were taken place. The obtained findings could contribute to improving the EC treatment of real urban wastewater and make the treatment simple and economically feasible.

Keywords: Developed EC process; Real urban wastewater; Operating cost; Iron scrap; Sludge characteristics

Received 7 April 2019, **Accepted** 26 May 2019

DOI: 10.30919/esee8c302

1. Introduction

In the urban areas, several factors such as expansion of population, increased coverage of sewerage and domestic water supply resulted in an increase in urban wastewater containing high amounts of organic, inorganic and microbial pollutants that are often responsible for the severe pollution of groundwaters, rivers and seas.¹ Moreover, high phosphate concentration loading into surface water results in the eutrophication that has harmful ecological effects, including the development of oxygen-depleted and toxic algal blooms, which consequently can lead to a change in animal and plant species composition, disruption of food webs, and loss of biodiversity.² In attempts to reduce the amount of such pollutants from urban wastewater, several methods have been investigated like coagulation-flocculation,^{3,4} advanced oxidation processes,⁵ anaerobic and aerobic treatment,⁶ adsorption,⁷⁻⁹ and activated sludge.¹⁰ However, these methods are quite expensive and involve several operational requirements. For such reasons, there has been an increasing interest in the use of electrochemical methods. Moreover, electrochemical methods have several advantages such as no requirement of any chemicals before

and/or after treatment, small operational area, producing less sludge and low-investment cost.

Recently, electrocoagulation (EC) has been applied successfully for the treatment of various types of wastewaters including textile wastewater,¹¹ semiconductor manufacturing wastewater,¹² dairy effluent,¹³ Groundwater,¹⁴ hydraulic fracturing wastewater,¹⁵ almond wastewater,¹⁶ oil refinery wastewater,¹⁷ tannery wastewater,¹⁸ laundry wastewater,¹⁹ river water²⁰ and urban wastewater.^{21,22}

In EC, the oxidation of Fe anode yields the dissolution of Fe^{2+} in the effluent according to reaction (1) with a standard potential of $E^0 = -0.44$ V/SHE, whereas H_2 and OH^- gases are generated at the cathode from reaction (2) with $E^0 = -0.83$ V/SHE:²³

At $\text{pH} > 5.5$, $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2$ precipitates by reaction (3) remaining in equilibrium with Fe^{2+} up to $\text{pH} = 9.5$, or with monomeric species such as $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})^+$, $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2$ and $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3^-$ at much higher pH values. When dissolved O_2 gas presents in the medium, insoluble $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_{3(s)}$ can be generated from Fe^{2+} oxidation through reaction (4), and the release of protons can be directly reduced to H_2 gas at the cathode according to reaction (5) giving the global reaction (6) in the electrolytic cell.

¹Laboratory of Electrochemistry and Environmental Materials, Faculty of Sciences, Kenitra, Morocco

²Group of Material Sciences, Energy and environment, ENSAH, Alhoceima, Morocco

³Institute of Engineering Thermophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100190 Beijing, China

*E-mail: achraf@iet.cn; elazzouzi89@gmail.com

The insoluble flocs of $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$ remained in the wastewater solution as solid suspensions, which act as adsorbents to remove the pollutants from the wastewater by electrostatic attraction or surface complexation followed by adsorption and co-precipitation.²⁴ Moreover, the H_2 gas generated at cathode can enhance the efficiency of the separation process through electroflotation.²⁵

Some investigations on the effect of electrode material for the treatment of wastewater using EC process revealed that employing Fe electrode resulted in the highest COD removal efficiency.²⁶ Recently, another study of EC treatment process for phosphate removal from swine wastewater showed that Fe as anode exhibits better efficiency than aluminum.²⁷ In despite, the usage of conventional EC processes with high-cost anode reduces the practical scope for EC application. Therefore, the use of low-cost EC anode could be an advantageous from economic and environmental sides.

It is noteworthy that only few studies have focused on the design of low-cost electrodes. For example, in the study performed by Ye *et al*, iron scrap was used as anode for the treatment of Ni-EDTA contained in wastewater and it was resulted in a removal efficiency of over 94.3% of Ni.²⁸ Moreover, another study conducted by Ardhan²⁹ shows good removal of color and COD from a synthetic wastewater when low-cost iron scrap has been used as anode.

Therefore, this study aims at the optimization of P and COD removal from urban wastewater by applying a developed EC using low-cost anode made from waste scrap iron. To evaluate the effectiveness of this developed EC process, a comparative study with a conventional EC was performed and the effect of different process parameters such as initial pH, current and packed anode density on P and COD removals have been studied. In attempts to better understand the mechanism governed the removal of P and COD, the sludge formed by EC with iron scrap was analyzed by several techniques to reveal the structure, morphology, chemical composition and surface functional species properties of the formed sludge.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Wastewater sample and characterization

The used urban wastewater samples in this work were taken from the

wastewater treatment plant of Al-Hoceima city (northeast part of Morocco) and its principle characteristics are listed in Table 1. The samples were analyzed mainly in terms of parameters such as P and COD by a standard method of analysis.³⁰ COD and P were measured by UV-vis spectrophotometer, (Spectrocoquant PHAR 300 Merck, Japan). pH and conductivity were measured by (pH/ion/Cond 750 WTW Inolab WTW, Germany). It is of interest to note that the urban wastewater was directly used here and without any pretreatment before the EC tests.

2.2 Sludge characterization

The sludge generated by EC was dried at 105 °C for several hours, and then powdered in mortar and pestle. The obtained powder was characterized using scanning electron microscope (SEM, Make: HIROX, FR, Model: SH 4000M), which provided the morphology of Sludge generated by electrocoagulation. Energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDAX) is an integrated feature of a scanning electron microscope (SEM), was performed to identify the elemental composition of the sludge obtained from the electrochemical unit. X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Make: Bruker, Germany, Model: AXS D8 Advance Eco) analysis was carried out to confirm the nature (crystalline or amorphous) of the sludge and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, SHIMADZU IRSpirit) was used to identify the functional groups of the sludge formed during the electrocoagulation.

2.3 Experimental set-up for the EC process

The developed EC process consists of scrap iron as anode and two iron plates as cathode, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The scrap iron was made from the used iron materials, which was cut into small pieces of dimension 5mm*5mm*5mm then used as anode after being carefully washed. The scrap iron packed anode was constructed by filling 12 g, 24g and 36 g of scrap iron in a cylindrical PVC perforated tube. The perforated tube has a length of 100 mm, an internal diameter of 30 mm, a wall thickness of 1 mm and a volume of 60 cm³. The PVC perforated tube contains small holes of 3 mm distributed on its surface to permit the transport of metal ions from the electrode. The cathode consisted of two iron plates with a dimension of 10×5×1 cm. A DC power supply (ALR3002M) characterized by the ranges 0-2.5 A for current and 0-30 V for voltage was used. The distance between the two electrodes (anode and cathode) was maintained constant at a value of 2 cm during the electrolysis. The pH of the aqueous solution was adjusted using 1M H_2SO_4 or 1M NaOH.

Table 1 Characteristics of the urban wastewater used in this study.

Parameters	Unit	value
COD	mg.L ⁻¹	1000
BOD	mg.L ⁻¹	560
TSS	mg.L ⁻¹	450
P	mg.L ⁻¹	12
NaCl	mg.L ⁻¹	2.2
Conductivity	μs.cm ⁻¹	3200
pH	pH	7.4

A batch electrochemical reactor of 500 ml capacity with typical iron anodes was also set up for comparison. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the electrodes were connected as anode-cathode-anode-cathode in the monopolar mode, installed in parallel and immersed up to 6 cm in depth. Each electrode has a dimension of $10 \times 5 \times 1$ cm with an effective area of 30 cm^2 . The electrode distance between anode and cathode was maintained constant at a value of 1 cm during electrolysis.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of initial pH

It is commonly known that the initial pH is one of the most sensitive operating parameters during the electrocoagulation treatment.³¹ In the

present study, the initial pH of the original urban wastewater was 7.5; and to evaluate the effect of initial pH on the removal efficiencies of P and COD using either plate iron or scrap iron in electrocoagulation processes, the pH_i was adjusted. The effect of initial pH_i on the removal of COD and phosphate was explored within the pH range of (3–9) at current of (1.5 A) and an operating time of (0–10 min). It can be observed from Figs. 2 and 3 that the removal of COD and P increased with time and the optimal initial pH to achieve the maximum removal efficiencies, and it was found to be 8 and 10 min for plate and scrap iron anode, respectively. At these optimal conditions, the maximum COD and P removal efficiencies was 75% and 80% for plate anode and 80 %, 85% for scrap anode. A slight decrease in the removal

Fig. 1 Experimental setups used in this study: (a) developed EC process and (b) conventional EC process; with (1) DC power supply, (2) perforated PVC contained Fe scrap, (3) Fe plate cathode (4) Circulation pump.

Fig. 2 Effect of initial pH on COD removal (a) Iron plate (b) Iron scrap (Current: 1.5 A; packed density 200 kg.m^{-3}).

efficiencies observed when the pH increased up to 7.5 (pH=9) and it decreased dramatically when the initial pH of solution became acidic (pH=3 and pH=5). Therefore, COD and P removals at original pH (pH=7.5) were better than that at a higher pH (pH>7.5) or lower pH (pH<7.5). As a reason of this behavior, in acidic medium solution (pH<7.5), the protons H^+ were reduced to form H_2 gas at the cathode and consequently the same proportion of hydroxyl ions (OH^-) could not be produced.³² In alkaline medium (pH>7.5), the oxidation of hydroxyl ions and the formation of $Fe(OH)_4^{4-}$ and $Fe(OH)_3^{3-}$ anions will take place at the anode and leading to lower the removing capacity.³² Hence, from these results we can easily conclude that there is no need to adjust the initial pH (7.5) of urban wastewater in order to enhance the EC performance, which is an advantage here for this study.

Fig. 4 shows that pH of the medium change during the EC process. It is of interest to note when the influent pH was adjusted to 3 and 5, the effluent pH was increased up to 6.2 and 6.8 and 6.4 to 7 for plate iron and scrap iron anode, respectively. This increase in pH can be explained by the occurrence of water electrolysis resulting in hydrogen evolution and production of OH^- ions. However, when the influent pH

was adjusted to pH 9, the effluent pH was decreased to 8.4 and 8.5. It is also observed that when the influent pH was 7.5, which correspond to original pH without any adjustment, a slight increase in effluent pH occurred. It is clear from these results that EC can be a pH neutralization step which provides an important advantage in its application at industrial scale.

3.2 Effect of current

Current has also a significant effect on the EC process, because it is a very important parameter that controls the reaction rate, production rate of coagulants, size of bubbles and growth of flocs.^{33,34} It is clearly observed in Figs. 5 and 6 that increasing the current led to a significant removal of P and COD. For example, at 8 min that corresponds to the optimal time, the increase in current from 0.5 to 2, led to the increases in removal efficiency from 55 to 82% for COD and from 65 to 85% for P using iron plate anode. Similar experiments were performed using iron scrap anode, Fig. 3 (b) shows that at 10 min of operating time the removal efficiency increased from 63 to 88% for COD and from 75 to 90% for P. From these results, it can be concluded that the use of iron

Fig. 3 Effect of initial pH on P removal (a) Iron plate (b) Iron scrap (Current: 1.5 A; packed density 200 kg.m⁻³).

Fig. 4 Variation of initial pH during electrolysis for plate and scrap iron anode.

scrap as anode can enhance the removal of P and COD from urban wastewater and the required current for achieving the maximum COD and P removals was 2 A. It was also reported that the increase in current led to increase the amount of iron hydroxide available in solution and the coagulant production rate, which improves the removal efficiency.³⁵ Moreover, the bubbles generation rate increased with increasing the current that contributes to the improvement of pollutant removal from wastewater by electroflotation process.¹⁷ The size of bubble decreases with increasing the current and smaller bubbles ensure larger surface area for flocculation that provides enhancement in pollutant removal.³⁶ However, very high current values and operating time may negatively affect the EC removal efficiency. This behavior is attributed to the generation of another secondary reaction that could reverse the charge of the colloids and lead to the decrease in removal efficiency.

3.3 Effect of anode packed density

The effect of the anode packed density, including the iron scrap anode (200, 400, 600 kg.m⁻³) and iron plate anode (800 kg.m⁻³) on COD and P removal from urban wastewater was performed under current of 2 A,

and initial pH of 7.5. As can be seen from Fig. 7(a) and (b), the removal efficiencies of COD and P increased using both iron scrap and iron plate anode. At 10 min, the increase in iron scrap anode packed density from 200 to 400 kg.m⁻³ led to the increase in the removal efficiencies from 88 to 94% for COD and from 90 to 97% for P; while a slight decrease in the removal performance was observed when the iron scrap anode packed density was increased to 600 kg.m⁻³. In the case of iron plate Fig. 7(a) and (b) show that 82% COD and 85% P removal were obtained at 8 min and 800 kg.m⁻³ of anode density. It is noteworthy that all iron scrap anodes exhibited better performance than the iron plate anodes.

The difference in the removal performance between iron scrap and iron plate anode can be attributed to the differences in the effective surface area of the two type of anodes for generating electroactive Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ ions (Ardhan *et al.*, 2014). It was previously reported that there is a direct relationship between the removal efficiency of orange II molecule and the electrode area, because for an equal consumption of electrical energy, the distribution of the electroactive species density becomes more effective with increasing the electrode surface area

Fig. 5 Effect of current on COD removal (a) Iron plate (b) Iron scrap (initial pH: 7.5; packed density 200 kg.m⁻³).

Fig. 6 Effect of current on P removal (a) Iron plate (b) Iron scrap (initial pH: 7.5; packed density 200 kg.m⁻³).

(Daneshvar *et al.*, 2007). In this part of study, the difference in the removal efficiencies between the iron scrap anode and iron plate anode can be explained by the high total effective area of packed-bed iron anode (0.084 m^2) in comparison with iron plate anode (0.003 m^2). Moreover, the difference between internal resistance in the iron scrap and iron plate anode can affect the performance of EC process in term of removal efficiencies. Thus, the higher mass of the iron plate anode in comparison to that of iron scrap anode led to higher resistance, which in turn increased the electric consumption due to the Joule effect (Picard *et al.*, 2000).

3.4 Energy consumption

In electrocoagulation process, the energy consumption is considered as a significant parameter because it allows us to evaluate the cost of the process. The energy consumption was calculated in terms of kWh per m^3 of wastewater and kWh per g of COD and P using the following equations:

$$ECS (\text{kWh} \cdot \text{m}^3) = \frac{U \times I \times t}{v} \quad (7)$$

$$SECS (\text{kWh} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}) = \frac{10^3 \times U \times I \times t}{v \times (C_i - C_f)} \quad (8)$$

where U is the cell voltage (V), I present the applied electrical current (A), t the current time of treatment (min), and V the reactor volume (L). C_i and C_f represent the initial pollutant concentration and the concentration at time t ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$).

Fig. 8 shows the energy consumption (ECS) and specific energy consumption (SECS) of the EC process using different packed densities of iron scrap anode ($200\text{--}600 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$) and a fixed density of anode ($800 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$) for plate iron. At a packed density of $200 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$, the ECS was $6.62 \text{ kWh} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ and the SECS was calculated as $5.76 \times 10^{-1} \text{ kWh} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ (COD) and $5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kWh} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ (P). The lowest ECS ($5.44 \text{ kWh} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$) and SECS ($5.12 \times 10^{-1} \text{ kWh} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ (COD) $4.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kWh} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ (P)) values were obtained at a packed density of $400 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$. Increasing the packed density to $600 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ led to increase the values of ECS and SECS with $6.4 \text{ kWh} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ and $7.19 \times 10^{-1} \text{ kWh} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ (COD) $6.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kWh} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ (P), respectively. In the case of plate iron anode, the density of electrode

Fig. 7 Effect of packed density on (a) COD removal (b) P removal (initial pH = 7.5; current = 2 A).

Fig. 8 Effect of packed density on energy consumption (ECS) and specific energy consumption (SECS) for (a) COD (b) P (initial pH = 7.5; current = 2 A).

was 800 kg m^{-3} and the values of ECS and SECS were found to be 6.24 kWh m^{-3} and 7.6 kWh g^{-1} (COD) and 5.8 kWh g^{-1} (P).

4. Characterization of EC by-products generated by Fe scrap anode

4.1 Morphology and chemical composition of generated sludge

To evaluate the structural features and elemental composition of the sludge formed by the EC process using iron scrap electrodes, SEM images and elemental composition of the generated sludge are shown in Fig. 9. The SEM image did not show any crystalline shape on sludge surface, which indicates the presence of mostly amorphous or ultrafine particular structure at μm size. EDX spectra of sludge formed by Fe scrap electrodes confirmed the presence of the removed phosphorous by

0.05 at.% from the solution. The peaks correspond to oxygen (30.59 at.%) and carbon (38.50 at.%) confirming the presence of organic compounds in the wastewater that were adsorbed on the surface of the colloids. The other peaks indicate that Na (2.08 at.%), Fe (24.50 at.%), S (1.42 at.%), Cl (1.24 at.%) and heavy metals are also presented in the sludge formed during EC process with scrap Fe anode.

4.2 Structure of generated sludge

The X-ray diffraction result of sludge generated using Fe scrap electrodes is shown in Fig. 10. The 2θ scans were recorded from 5° to 90° . The spectrum of the analyzed by-products does not show any sludge structure with no intense characteristic diffraction peaks over a wide range of 2θ ($15-80^\circ$), confirming poor arrangement of particles with ferric sludge.³⁷

Fig. 9 SEM/EDX of sludge produced using Fe scrap anode.

Fig. 10 XRD of sludge produced using Fe scrap anode.

Fig. 11 FTIR analysis of EC by-products using Fe scrap anode.

4.3 Identification of functional groups in generated sludge

The infrared spectrum of the sludge also analyzed at wave numbers between 500 to 4000 cm^{-1} is depicted in Fig. 11. The strong peak at 3335 cm^{-1} was attributed to stretching vibration of -OH and H-O-H bending modes of free water, hydroxyl bending and OH water bending vibration or overtones of hydroxyl bending around 1636 cm^{-1} . Peaks at 2854 and 2924 cm^{-1} are corresponding to C-H stretching mode of saturated C-C bonds. The peak at 813 cm^{-1} and 1084 cm^{-1} could be attributed to the Fe(III) solid which was identified as lepidocrocite phase of $\alpha\text{-FeOOH}$ and Fe_2O_3 of iron oxyhydroxides.³⁸ The peak at 592 cm^{-1} revealed the presence of Magnetite (Fe_3O_4). The peak located at 1084 is attributed to P-O vibration of adsorbed phosphate or Fe-O bond stretching confirming that phosphate was successfully adsorbed on hydroxide metal.

These analyses of the generated EC by-products revealed the presence of phosphate (P), carbon (C) and oxygen (O), which indicates that such pollutants were adsorbed on the surface of iron hydroxides and oxyhydroxides, where the removals of P and COD were successfully removed from urban wastewater. Finally, we could conclude that the higher treatment efficiency obtained from the developed EC treatment process can be explained by the higher specific surface area of the Fe scrap that induces the production of more electro-generated coagulants than the Fe plate, which is dependent strongly here on the current, operating time and packed density.

5. Conclusion

A low-cost EC process was developed here by using iron scrap as the anode to improve the removal efficiencies of COD and P from real urban wastewater. The maximum removal efficiencies of P and COD at optimum conditions were found to be 97% and 94%, respectively. It was also found here the energy consumption of the urban wastewater was reduced from 7.6×10^{-3} to 5.4×10^{-3} kWh/g COD and from 5.8×10^{-1} to 4.3×10^{-1} kWh/g P when switching from conventional to developed EC treatment process. The higher treatment efficiency obtained from the developed EC treatment process can be explained by the higher surface area of the Fe scrap for the distribution of the electroactive species, that induces the production of more electrogenerated coagulants than the Fe

plate, which is dependent strongly here to the current, operating time and packed density. In order to better understand the removal mechanism of COD and P of the developed EC process, the generated by-products were characterized using SEM/EDX, XRD and FTIR analyses. These analyses confirmed the presence of P, C and O as the main components of the sludge, which indicates that such pollutants are successfully removed from urban wastewater. Regarding the performance of this developed EC using Fe scrap as the anode, we can assume that the developed EC technique can open new doorway to be used effectively in order to remove other pollutants from various types of wastewaters, which could be more promising than conventional electrocoagulation using plate electrode.

Acknowledgments

The research group would like to acknowledge the Center of Innovation and Development of Tangier, Morocco and also Dr. A. Aouaaram from ONEE (Al Hoceima) for his technical support. Dr. A. El Kasmi is so grateful to the financial support of Chinese Academy of Sciences for senior international scientists within the framework of PIFI program (Grant No. 2017PE0009).

References

1. Ş. İrdemez, N. Demircioğlu, Y.Ş. Yıldız and Z. Bingül, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2006, **52**, 218-223.
2. S. R. Carpenter, N. F. Caraco, D. L. Correll, R. W. Howarth, A. N. Sharpley and V. H. Smith, *Ecol. Appl.*, 1998, **8**, 559-568.
3. S. Suarez, J. M. Lema and F. Omil, *Bioresource Technol.*, 2009, **100**, 2138-2146.
4. M. Chenna, R. Chemlal, N. Drouiche, K. Messaoudi and H. Lounici, *Desalin. Water Treat.*, 2016, **57**, 27003-27014.
5. E. J. Rosenfeldt, P. J. Chen, S. Kullman and K. G. Linden, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2007, **377**, 105-113.
6. T. M. LaPara and J. E. Alleman, *Water Res.*, 1999, **33**, 895-908.
7. S. Rajput, C. U. Pittman and D. Mohan, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, 2016, **468**, 334-346.
8. S. J. T. Pollard, G. D. Fowler, C. J. Sollars and R. Perry, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 1992, **116**, 31-52.
9. S. Wang and H. Wu, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2006, **136**, 482-501.

10. A. Pala and E. Tokat, *Water Res.*, 2002, **36**, 2920-2925.
11. F. Ghanbari and M. Moradi, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2015, **3**, 499-506.
12. S. Aoudj, A. Khelifa, N. Drouiche, R. Belkada and D. Miroud, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2015, **267**, 153-162.
13. H. D. Bassala, G. K. Dedzo, C. B. N. Bememba, P. M. T. Seumo, J. D. Dazie, C. P. Nanseu-Njiki and E. Ngameni, *Process Saf. Environ.*, 2017, **111**, 122-127.
14. E. Lacasa, P. Cañizares, C. Sáez, F. J. Fernández and M. A. Rodrigo, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2011, **171**, 1012-1017.
15. M. A. Sari and S. Chellam, *J. Colloid Interf. Scie.*, 2015, **458**, 103-111.
16. D. Valero, J. M. Ortiz, V. García, E. Expósito, V. Montiel and A. Aldaz, *Chemosphere*, 2011, **84**, 1290-1295.
17. U. T. Un, A. S. Koparal and U. B. Ogutveren, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2009, **90**, 428-433.
18. J. Feng, Y. Sun, Z. Zheng, J. Zhang, L. I. Shu and Y. Tian, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 2007, **19**, 1409-1415.
19. F. Janpoor, A. Torabian and V. Khatibikamal, *J. Chem. Technol. Biot.*, 2011, **86**, 1113-1120.
20. N. Boudjema, N. Drouiche, N. Abdi, H. Grib, H. Lounici, A. Paus and N. Mameri, *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.*, 2014, **45**, 1564-1570.
21. M. Elazzouzi, A. El Kasmi, K. Haboubi and M. S. Elyoubi, *Process Saf. Environ.*, 2018, **116**, 506-515.
22. M. Elazzouzi, K. Haboubi and M. S. Elyoubi, *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, 2017, **117**, 614-626.
23. N. Daneshvar, A. R. Khataee, A. R. Amani Ghadim and M. H. Rasoulifard, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2007, **148**, 566-572.
24. E. S. Z. El-Ashtoukhy and N. K. Amin, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **179**, 113-119.
25. P. Canizares, F. Martínez, C. Jiménez, C. Sáez and M. A. Rodrigo, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2008, **151**, 44-51.
26. I. Zongo, A. H. Maiga, J. Wéthé, G. Valentin, J. P. Leclerc, G. Paternotte and F. Lapique, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2009, **169**, 70-76.
27. R. Mores, H. Treichel, C. A. Zakrzewski, A. Kunz, J. Steffens and R. M. Dallago, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2016, **171**, 112-117.
28. X. Ye, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Lv, R. Dou, S. Wen, L. Li, Y. Chen and Y. Hu, *Chemosphere*, 2016, **164**, 304-313.
29. N. Ardhan, E. J. Moore and C. Phalakornkule, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, **253**, 448-455.
30. H. T. Arthur, *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, (2005).
31. M. Carmona, M. Khemis, J. P. Leclerc and F. Lapique, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2006, **61**, 1237-1246.
32. M. Y. A. Mollah, R. Schennach, J. R. Parga and D. L. Cocke, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2001, **84**, 29-41.
33. N. Adhoum and L. Monser, *Chem. Eng. Process.*, 2004, **43**, 1281-1287.
34. A. Aleboyeh, N. Daneshvar and M. B. Kasiri, *Chem. Eng. Process.*, 2008, **47**, 827-832.
35. M. Koby, E. Gengec and E. Demirbas, *Chem. Eng. Process.*, 2016, **101**, 87-100.
36. C. An, G. Huang, Y. Yao and S. Zhao, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2017, **579**, 537-556.
37. K. K. Garg, B. Prasad, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2016, **4**, 178-190.
38. J. A. G. Gomes, P. Daida, M. Kesmez, M. Weir, H. Moreno, J. R. Parga, G. Irwin, H. McWhinney, T. Grady, E. Peterson and D. L. Cocke, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2007, **139**, 220-231.

Publisher's Note Engineered Science Publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.